

1

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/897,344 07/03/2001 TG3-101US John T. Giles 8262 24314 7590 09/03/2003 JANSSON, SHUPE & MUNGER, LTD **EXAMINER** 245 MAIN STREET POKER, JENNIFER A RACINE, WI 53403 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Advisory Action	Application No. Applicant(s)		
	09/897,344	GILES ET AL.	() u
	Examiner	Art Unit	0
	Jennifer A. Poker	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh t with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applion I) a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ich places the appli	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a)			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,17 and 18</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-16</u> .			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding applicant's argument in reference to amended claims concerning the mechanically attached skirt portion through a mating connection, Examiner believes the amended claims raise a new issue requiring further search and consideration. Examiner's prior search was in reference to a skirt portion being integrally formed with a face portion. The applicant's amendment incorporating the specific structure incorporating a mating connection between two elements requires an additional search.

ELVIN ENAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

9/3/03